

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

| | | |
|--|----|---|
| (51) International Patent Classification 6 : A61K 9/14, 9/20 | A1 | (11) International Publication Number: WO 97/02017 (43) International Publication Date: 23 January 1997 (23.01.97) |
|--|----|---|

| | |
|---|--|
| (21) International Application Number: PCT/IE96/00039 | (81) Designated States: AL, AU, BB, BG, BR, CA, CN, CZ, EE, GE, HU, IL, IS, JP, KP, KR, LK, LR, LT, LV, MG, MK, MN, MX, NO, NZ, PL, RO, SG, SI, SK, TR, TT, UA, US, UZ, VN, ARIPO patent (KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). |
| (22) International Filing Date: 1 July 1996 (01.07.96) | |
| (30) Priority Data: 950492 3 July 1995 (03.07.95) IE 60/000,897 6 July 1995 (06.07.95) US | |
| (71) Applicant (<i>for all designated States except US</i>): ELAN CORPORATION, PLC [IE/IE]; Monksland Industrial Estate, Athlone, County Westmeath (IE). | Published <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i> |
| (72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (<i>for US only</i>): CLANCY, Maurice, Joseph, Anthony [IE/IE]; 58 Auburn Heights, Athlone, County Westmeath (IE). CUMMING, Kenneth, Iain [GB/IE]; Apartment 19, 46 North Great Georges Street, Dublin 1 (IE). MYERS, Michael [IE/US]; 43514 Golden Meadow Circle, Ashburn, VA 22011 (US). | |
| (74) Agent: ANNE RYAN & CO.; 60 Northumberland Road, Ballsbridge, Dublin 4 (IE). | |

(54) Title: CONTROLLED RELEASE FORMULATIONS FOR POORLY SOLUBLE DRUGS

(57) Abstract

A controlled release formulation for oral administration comprises a solid dispersion of a poorly soluble active ingredient in a hydrophilic poloxamer, the solid dispersion being a component of a core and the core as such or following coating of the core with a polymeric coating being effective to achieve therapeutic levels of the active ingredient over extended periods of time (24 hours or longer) following oral administration. The formulation can be in a multi-particulate form such as pellets or mini-tablets or in the form of tablets. Examples of active ingredients whose solubility and therapeutic effectiveness can be improved with the formulation are cisapride, cyclosporin, diclofenac, felodipine, ibuprofen, indomethacin, nicardipine, nifedipine, terfenadine and theophylline.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

| | | | | | |
|----|--------------------------|----|--|----|--------------------------|
| AM | Armenia | GB | United Kingdom | MW | Malawi |
| AT | Austria | GE | Georgia | MX | Mexico |
| AU | Australia | GN | Guinea | NE | Niger |
| BB | Barbados | GR | Greece | NL | Netherlands |
| BE | Belgium | HU | Hungary | NO | Norway |
| BF | Burkina Faso | IE | Ireland | NZ | New Zealand |
| BG | Bulgaria | IT | Italy | PL | Poland |
| BJ | Benin | JP | Japan | PT | Portugal |
| BR | Brazil | KE | Kenya | RO | Romania |
| BY | Belarus | KG | Kyrgyzstan | RU | Russian Federation |
| CA | Canada | KP | Democratic People's Republic of Korea | SD | Sudan |
| CF | Central African Republic | KR | Republic of Korea | SE | Sweden |
| CG | Congo | KZ | Kazakhstan | SG | Singapore |
| CH | Switzerland | LI | Liechtenstein | SI | Slovenia |
| CI | Côte d'Ivoire | LK | Sri Lanka | SK | Slovakia |
| CM | Cameroon | LR | Liberia | SN | Senegal |
| CN | China | LT | Lithuania | SZ | Swaziland |
| CS | Czechoslovakia | LU | Luxembourg | TD | Chad |
| CZ | Czech Republic | LV | Latvia | TG | Togo |
| DE | Germany | MC | Monaco | TJ | Tajikistan |
| DK | Denmark | MD | Republic of Moldova | TT | Trinidad and Tobago |
| EE | Estonia | MG | Madagascar | UA | Ukraine |
| ES | Spain | ML | Mali | UG | Uganda |
| FI | Finland | MN | Mongolia | US | United States of America |
| FR | France | MR | Mauritania | UZ | Uzbekistan |
| GA | Gabon | | | VN | Viet Nam |

DescriptionControlled release formulations for poorly soluble drugsTechnical Field

This invention relates to controlled release formulations for
5 poorly soluble drugs for oral administration.

Background Art

Various controlled absorption/release pharmaceutical
formulations are available which have a particular dissolution pattern,
resulting in a controlled absorption of the active substance and,
10 therefore, more effective medication. The use of many active
substances in therapy is complicated by solubility problems. In the case
of some insoluble drugs various methods have been used to enhance
solubility such as micronisation, formation of amorphous co-
precipitates, or preparation of inclusion complexes using materials such
15 as cyclodextrins. Various surfactants have also been utilised to enhance
the solubility of various insoluble compounds using different
formulation strategies.

Some drugs, such as nifedipine, are non-ionisable and show a low
solubility throughout all regions of the gastrointestinal tract. Other
20 drugs are either basic or acidic in character and show pH dependent
limited solubility in different regions of the gastrointestinal tract. One
such example is cisapride, which is basic in nature and has only
relatively low solubility in acid conditions in the upper part of the
gastrointestinal tract and very poor solubility as it passes further down
25 the gastrointestinal tract.

Our EP 0 232 155 B1 and EP 0 274 176 describe adsorbates for
use in drug delivery systems. EP 0 232 155 B1 describes adsorbates
consisting of a mixture of a pharmaceutically useful active ingredient
and an inactive substance adsorbed on a cross-linked polymer which is

granulated and then blended with a polymer or mixture of polymers to form a sustained release drug delivery matrix system. EP 0 274 176 describes sustained release capsule and tablet formulations based on an adsorbate of a mixture of a pharmaceutically useful dihydropyridine and a polyvinylpyrrolidone having an average molecular weight greater than 55,000 adsorbed on a cross-linked polyvinylpyrrolidone, the adsorbate being blended with at least one polymer which gels in the presence of water so as to obtain a sustained release effect.

It is an object of the present invention to provide an improved drug delivery system wherein the bioavailability of an otherwise poorly bioavailable active ingredient is enhanced and effectively controlled.

It is a further object of the present invention to provide controlled release dosage forms of poorly soluble active ingredient which provide therapeutic levels for a period of up to 24 hours or longer, dependent on the half-life of the active ingredient.

Disclosure of Invention

The invention provides a controlled release formulation for oral administration, comprising a solid dispersion of a poorly soluble active ingredient in a hydrophilic poloxamer polymer, said solid dispersion being a component of a core and said core as such or following coating of the core with a polymeric coating being effective to achieve therapeutic levels of said active ingredient over extended periods of time following oral administration.

It is found that incorporation of a poorly soluble active ingredient into a solid dispersion in a formulation according to the invention achieves a significant level of solubility/wettability enhancement, as well as achieving therapeutic levels of said active ingredient over extended periods *in vivo*.

Preferably, the solid dispersion is blended with one or more tabletting ingredients to form a tablet core, said tablet core as such or

following coating of the core with a polymeric coating being effective to achieve therapeutic levels of said active ingredient over extended periods of time following oral administration.

5 To accommodate the varying solubility of different active ingredients of the type referred to above, the solid dispersion may need to include one or more ingredients to further improve the solubility/wettability enhancement of the active ingredient.

10 Accordingly, the solid dispersion may include a surfactant component. The surfactant may be an anionic, cationic or non-ionic surfactant. Preferred surfactant components are selected from sodium lauryl sulphate, a sodium carboxylate, an alkyl sulphate, a polyethylene glycol ester, a polyethylene ether, a sorbitan ester, an ethoxylated sorbitan ester and an alkyl trimethylammonium halide and a mixture thereof.

15 Alternatively, the solid dispersion may include an acid component. Preferred acid components are selected from adipic acid, ascorbic acid, citric acid, fumaric acid, malic acid, succinic acid and tartaric acid.

20 Further, alternatively, the solid dispersion may include a base component. Preferred base components are selected from calcium carbonate, calcium hydroxide, magnesium hydroxide, sodium bicarbonate, sodium carbonate, sodium citrate and sodium hydroxide.

Preferably, the surfactant, acid or base component is present in a ratio of 0.01:1.0 to 5.0:1.0 by weight of the active ingredient.

25 Any such surfactant, acid or base components are hereinafter collectively referred to as auxiliary agents.

Further, preferably, the active ingredient and the poloxamer are present in an amount of 0.1:1.0 to 10.0:1.0 by weight.

By poloxamer herein is meant also a combination of two or more poloxamers.

The poloxamer polyols are a series of closely related block copolymers of ethylene oxide and propylene oxide.

5 More specifically, the poloxamer polyols are α -hydro- ω -hydroxypoly(oxyethylene)poly(oxypropylene)poly(oxyethylene) block copolymers, more generally known as polyethylene - propylene glycol copolymer or polyoxyethylene - polyoxypropylene copolymer.

10 Preferred poloxamers are those which contain between 60% and 90%, more especially between 70% and 80%, by weight of the polyoxyethylene portion.

15 The polyoxyethylene segment is hydrophilic whilst the polyoxypropylene segment is hydrophobic. All of the poloxamers are chemically similar in composition, differing only in the relative amounts of propylene oxide and ethylene oxide added during manufacture. The hydrophilic segment can comprise between 15 and 90% of the molecule. As indicated above, those recommended for use in accordance with the invention have between 60% and 90%, more especially between 70% and 80%, by weight of the hydrophilic 20 polyoxyethylene segment or sequence. Such poloxamer polyols, hereinafter referred to as poloxamers, are known by the trade names Lutrol, Monolan and Pluronic.

25 Poloxamers are also defined by a number. The first two digits of the number, when multiplied by 100, correspond to the approximate average molecular weight of the polyoxypropylene (POP) portion of the molecule. The third digit, when multiplied by 10, corresponds to the percentage by weight of the polyoxyethylene (POE) portion. When called by their Pluronic name, the first two digits, when multiplied by 1000 indicate the total molecular weight, and the third digit, multiplied 30 by 10, represents the approximate percentage of POE in the molecule. The associated capital letter indicates the physical state: L = liquid, P =

paste, and F = solid. For further information, reference can be made to Pharmaceutical Technology Europe May 1994.

5 The preferred poloxamers for use in accordance with the invention are the F series. Especially preferred poloxamers of the F series are F68, F108 and F127, especially those sold under the trade marks Lutrol F 68, Pluronic F 108 and Lutrol F 127 by BASF.

Further information on these poloxamers can be obtained from the technical information sheets supplied by BASF (Ireland) Limited.

10 The poloxamer is melted and then the active ingredient and any auxiliary agent(s) are dispersed in the molten poloxamer.

15 The poloxamer is suitably melted in a stainless steel container. To the molten mass the active ingredient, and any auxiliary agent(s) and the inert filler, if used, are added slowly over time. The mixture is stirred while it is cooled and milled to a median particle size in the range 30-300 µm.

Alternatively, the active ingredient, any auxiliary agent(s), and the poloxamer are dissolved in an organic solvent or solvents; the solvent is evaporated and the molten poloxamer is cooled and milled to a median particle size in the range 30-300 µm.

20 It has been found that various poorly soluble active ingredients are readily dispersible in the melt forms of the poloxamers used in accordance with the invention. When cooled the mixture of active ingredient, auxiliary agent(s), if present, and poloxamer forms a dry, hard solid which can be easily ground or milled. It is for this reason
25 that the poloxamers were chosen herein as the polymer base for the solid dispersion.

The solid dispersion according to the invention can include excipient agents, such as an inert filler. The inert filler is suitably a water soluble inert filler. An example of an inert filler is lactose, more

especially lactose monohydrate. The inclusion of an inert filler such as lactose may have the effect of lowering the melting point of the poloxamer.

5 The incorporation of an inert filler is found to have no detrimental impact on the solubility obtained. However, it does significantly improve overall processability and lead to a fine, powder material which is very suitable for compression into tablets. The inert filler is suitably used in an amount of 3-35% by weight.

10 The active ingredient can be any poorly soluble drug of the type mentioned above. Examples of such drugs are cisapride, cyclosporin, diclofenac, felodipine, ibuprofen, indomethacin, nicardipine, nifedipine, terfenadine and theophylline.

15 An aim of the present invention is to provide poorly soluble active ingredients such as cisapride in a readily solubilised and absorbable form at distal sites in the gastrointestinal tract so as to achieve continuing and extended absorption. The aqueous solubility of cisapride, which is inherently low and significantly pH dependent, becomes limiting as cisapride is normally presented to more distal sites in the gastrointestinal tract where the water content and the pH 20 adversely impact on the solubility of cisapride. Although not wishing to be bound by any theoretical explanation of the invention, it is postulated that the increased solubility of cisapride obtained through the use of a solid dispersion as hereinabove described results in an optimal micro-environment which promotes the availability of solubilised and absorbable cisapride. Furthermore, the presence of a hydroxy acid in the solid dispersion, combined with the controlled release system employed, results in a gradual availability of the hydroxy acid to promote the solubilisation of the cisapride over the bulk of the release rate curve.

25 Similar results are obtained with other poorly soluble active ingredients as hereinafter described.

The solid oral dosage form according to the invention can be in the form of capsules.

Thus, capsules in accordance with the invention can contain the formulation according to the invention in a multi-particulate form such 5 as pellets or mini-tablets.

Suitably, the capsules will include an amount of pellets or mini-tablets for immediate release of active ingredient comprising a solid dispersion as hereinbefore defined.

Various multi-particulate forms or a small number of discrete 10 units can be presented in capsule form.

The capsules can be hard or soft gelatin capsules.

Alternatively, the solid oral dosage form according to the invention can be in the form of tablets.

The tablets may consist of a tablet core defined by a solid 15 dispersion as hereinbefore described dispersed in a hydrogel matrix. In this form of tablet, the solid dispersion is compressed into a dosage form containing a polymer or mixture of polymers which when wet will swell to form a hydrogel. The rate of release of the active ingredient from this dosage form is controlled both by diffusion from the swollen tablet mass and by erosion of the tablet surface over time. 20 The rate of release of the active ingredient may be controlled both by the amount of polymer *per* tablet and by the inherent viscosities of the polymers used.

The water swellable polymer is suitably a hydroxy- 25 propylmethylcellulose (HPMC) in an amount of 5-75% by weight. By hydroxypropylmethylcellulose herein is meant a combination of hydroxypropylmethylcelluloses.

Suitably the HPMC or other water swellable cellulose polymer will be present in an amount of from 5% to 75% by weight, more especially from 10% to 60% by weight.

5 An especially preferred type of HPMC for use in accordance with the invention is HPMC sold under the trade mark Methocel.

The amount of HPMC or other water swellable polymer used will be dependent on the viscosity thereof. In general, the higher the viscosity of the polymer, the lesser amount of the polymer required to give the desired release properties.

10 Suitable Methocels are Methocel K15M, a 2% solution of which has a viscosity of 15,000 centipoise. Other suitable Methocels include Methocel K4M, K100M, K100LV or E, F and J grades, depending on the release characteristics desired.

15 As indicated above, the use of hydrogel matrices causes the tablet to swell and release drug in a controlled manner by erosion of the tablet surface and diffusion from the tablet mass. Cellulose polymers with different inherent viscosities are preferably used to control the dissolution rate.

20 Hydrogels are linear macromolecules which swell in water or biological fluids. Drug release in such systems can be basically modified by varying the following parameters:

Type and viscosity grade of polymers; and
Actual concentrations.

25 The polymer type chosen in accordance with the invention is determined primarily by the solubility characteristics of the solid dispersion to be compressed. The viscosity grade is dictated by the desired rate of release of active ingredient from the tablet mass.

Tablet hardness is also a parameter to be considered in the case of hydrogel tablets. Suitably the mean hardness of the tablets is in the range 60-260 N.

For tabletting purposes, it will also be usual to use a diluent or
5 compacting agent such as microcrystalline cellulose, more especially
microcrystalline cellulose sold under the trade mark Avicel, for
example, Avicel pH101.

Other excipient agents may include lubricants such as magnesium
stearate and a glidant such as colloidal silicon dioxide sold under the
10 trade mark Aerosil.

The solid oral dosage form according to the invention can also be
in the form of tablets comprising a core containing a solid dispersion as
hereinbefore described surrounded by a multi-porous, rate-controlling
membrane. Suitably the solid dispersion is in the form of an instant
15 release tablet core which is adapted for direct compression followed by
coating with the rate-controlling membrane. A primary consideration
with such formulations is the selection of suitable tablet ingredients to
impart the desired effect while compressing easily. In order to achieve
an instant release tablet core the solid dispersion is suitably blended
20 with standard tablet excipients such as a standard compressing base, a
compressible sugar, a solubilising agent and a lubricating agent. In this
type of dosage form, release of the active ingredient is controlled *via* a
diffusion mechanism.

Tablet hardness and friability play an important role in the
25 characterisation of the membrane coated tablet. It is essential that the
core tablets are sufficiently rugged to withstand the coating process.

In order to obtain the desired release profile suitable for once-daily administration, the rate-controlling membrane will suitably contain a major proportion of a pharmaceutically acceptable film-forming, water insoluble polymer and optionally a minor proportion of
30 a pharmaceutically acceptable film-forming, water soluble polymer.

The term water soluble polymer as used herein includes polymers which are freely permeable to water, whilst the term water insoluble polymer as used herein includes polymers which are slightly permeable to water, as hereinafter indicated.

- 5 Preferably the water soluble polymer in the membrane, if present, is selected from polyvinyl alcohol, polyvinylpyrrolidone, methyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl cellulose, hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose, agar, carrageenan, xanthan or polyethylene glycol or a mixture thereof.
- 10 The incorporation of various hydrophilic agents into the polymer coating so as to form channels in said coating can be used and in general leads to a more linear release rate. Such hydrophilic agents include fumaric acid, citric acid, tartaric acid, sodium citrate, sodium bicarbonate, sodium fumarate, sodium carbonate, monosaccharides and disaccharides. An especially suitable monosaccharide is glucose.
- 15 Alternatively, the water soluble polymer in the membrane can be replaced by a polymeric material which is freely permeable to the active ingredient and water and comprises a copolymer of acrylic and methacrylic acid esters.
- 20 A suitable polymer which is freely permeable to various poorly soluble active ingredients and water is a polymer sold under the Trade Mark EUDRAGIT RL.
- 25 Preferably, the water insoluble polymer in membrane is selected from ethylcellulose, cellulose acetate, cellulose propionate (lower, medium or higher molecular weight), cellulose acetate propionate, cellulose acetate butyrate, cellulose acetate phthalate, cellulose triacetate, poly(methyl methacrylate), poly(ethyl methacrylate), poly(butyl methacrylate), poly(isobutyl methacrylate), poly(hexyl methacrylate), poly(isodecyl methacrylate), poly(lauryl methacrylate),
- 30 poly(phenyl methacrylate), poly(methyl acrylate), poly(isopropyl acrylate), poly(isobutyl acrylate), poly(octadecyl acrylate),

poly(ethylene), poly(ethylene) low density, poly(ethylene) high density, poly(propylene), poly(ethylene oxide), poly(ethylene terephthalate), poly(vinyl isobutyl ether), poly(vinyl acetate), poly(vinyl chloride) or polyurethane or a mixture thereof.

5 The water insoluble polymer of the membrane may also comprise naturally occurring polymers or resins.

Thus other preferred water insoluble polymers are selected from a naturally occurring polymer selected from shellac, chitosan, gum juniper or a mixture thereof.

10 Alternatively, the water insoluble polymer in the membrane can be replaced by a polymeric material which is slightly permeable to the active ingredient and water and comprises a copolymer of acrylic and methacrylic acid esters.

15 A suitable polymer which is slightly permeable to various poorly soluble active ingredients and water is a polymer sold under the Trade Mark EUDRAGIT RS or a polymer whose permeability is pH dependent and sold under the Trade Mark EUDRAGIT L, EUDRAGIT S or EUDRAGIT E. Especially preferred polymers in this category are EUDRAGIT S.

20 EUDRAGIT polymers are polymeric lacquer substances based on acrylates and/or methacrylates. The polymeric materials sold under the Trade Mark EUDRAGIT RL and EUDRAGIT RS are acrylic resins comprising copolymers of acrylic and methacrylic acid esters with a low content of quaternary ammonium groups and are described in the "EUDRAGIT" brochure of Messrs. Rohm Pharma GmbH (1984) wherein detailed physical-chemical data of these products is given. The ammonium groups are present as salts and give rise to the permeability of the lacquer films. EUDRAGIT RL and RS are freely permeable (RL) or slightly permeable (RS), respectively, independent of pH.

5 EUDRAGIT S is an anionic polymer synthesized from methacrylic acid and methacrylic acid methyl ester. It is insoluble in acids and pure water. It becomes soluble in a neutral to weakly alkaline milieu by forming salts with alkalis. The permeability of EUDRAGIT S is pH dependent. Above pH 6.0 the polymer becomes increasingly permeable. EUDRAGIT S is described in the "EUDRAGIT S" brochure of Messrs. Rohm Pharma GmbH (1986) wherein detailed physical-chemical data of the product is given.

10 The solid dispersion can be applied to an inert core before application of the rate-controlling polymer membrane in a manner known *per se*.

15 Thus, the solid dispersion can be applied to an inert core, such as a nonpareil seed of sugar/starch having an average diameter in the range 0.2-1.4 mm, more especially 0.3-0.8 mm, using a polymeric material as a binder.

The polymeric material used to coat the inert core will suitably contain a major proportion of a pharmaceutically acceptable water soluble polymer.

20 The inert core will be coated with layers of solid dispersion in powder form and the polymeric material superimposed one upon the other and the polymeric material being present in an amount effective to ensure that all of the solid dispersion is incorporated into the core.

25 The polymer materials used to form the core can be the same as the polymers hereinabove described for use in the rate-controlling membrane.

30 In the case of coating in a conventional coating pan, alternate layers of a coating solution/suspension of the polymeric material and the solid dispersion are applied to the central inert core to build up a multi-layer arrangement of the core. In the case of an automatic coating system, the coating solution/suspension of the polymeric

material and the solid dispersion are applied, simultaneously, in conventional manner.

The coating solution/suspension of the polymeric material comprises one or more polymer(s) dissolved/suspended in a suitable solvent or mixture of solvents. The concentration of the polymeric material in the coating solution/suspension is determined by the viscosity of the final solution/suspension. Preferably, between 5 and 50 parts of the central inert cores are used relative to the solid dispersion. The addition of a plasticizing agent to the polymeric solution/ 5 suspension may be necessary depending on the formulation to improve the elasticity and also the stability of the polymer film and to prevent changes in the polymer permeability over prolonged storage. 10

Such changes could affect the drug release rate. Suitable plasticizing agents include polyethylene glycol, propylene glycol, 15 glycerol, triacetin, dimethyl phthalate, diethyl phthalate, dibutyl phthalate, dibutyl sebacate, triethyl citrate, tributyl citrate, triethyl acetyl citrate, castor oil and varying percentages of acetylated monoglycerides.

Alternatively, the solid dispersion and polymeric material can be built up on a central active core. The active core is suitably formed by 20 blending the solid dispersion and polymeric material to form a homogeneous powder, shaping a portion of said blend to form a central core and applying the remainder of said blend alternately or simultaneously with a polymer binding solution to form a layered structure on said central core. 25

Thus, the active core can be formed by blending the solid dispersion and polymeric material to form a homogeneous powder. A portion of the blend is shaped to form a central core. A multi-layer arrangement is then built up by a successive layering and binding process wherein the remainder of the blend and a polymer binding 30 solution are applied to the active core in alternate layers in a conventional coating pan. Alternatively, an automatic coating system

may be used wherein the remainder of the blend and polymer binding solution is applied to the active core, simultaneously. Conventional automated coating systems include for example a CF granulator or a Glatt fluidized bed. The cores are formed to ensure a uniform
5 distribution of the active ingredient and any auxiliary agent(s) throughout the cores.

Coating the active core consisting of the solid dispersion with a differentially permeable membrane allows active dissolution and diffusion from the micro-environment of the active core. Especially,
10 suitable polymers are cellulose and poly(methacrylate) based polymers for use as coating agents. Polymer coating is most suitably performed in a C.F. 360 granulator.

Preferred solvents for use in polymer application/coating include acetone, isopropyl alcohol and industrial methylated spirit.

15 In order to form mini-tablets and pellets for filling into capsules it will be appreciated by the person skilled in the art that the above methods for forming hydrogel matrix tablets and tablets with a rate-controlling membrane, respectively can be adopted.

20 Based on the information given above other ways of incorporating the solid dispersion hereinabove described to achieve improved solubilisation/wettability and improved controlled absorption/release will be apparent to one skilled in the art.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Fig. 1 is a plot of plasma levels of nifedipine (ng/ml) *versus* time
25 (hours) after administration for the formulations of Examples 1 and 2;

Fig. 2 is a plot of plasma levels of nifedipine (ng/ml) *versus* time (hours) after administration for the formulations of Examples 3 and 4;

Fig. 3 is a plot of plasma levels of nifedipine (ng/ml) *versus* time (hours) after administration for the formulations of Example 5 and the reference product;

5 Fig. 4 is a plot of plasma levels of cisapride (ng/ml) *versus* time (hours) after administration for the tablet formulations of Examples 6 and 7 in which: curve a) corresponds to the tablet formulation of Example 6; and curve b) corresponds to the tablet formulation of Example 7; and

10 Fig. 5 is a plot of plasma levels of cisapride (ng/ml) *versus* time (hours) after administration for the formulations of Examples 8 -11 and the reference product.

Modes for Carrying Out the Invention

This invention will be further illustrated by the following Examples.

15

Example 1 (Comparative Example)

Preparation of tablet

20 A tablet formulation was prepared by blending the following tablet ingredients in the indicated proportions:

| | <u>Ingredient</u> | <u>Weight %</u> |
|----|--------------------|-----------------|
| | Nifedipine | 12.82 |
| 25 | Methocel K15M | 64.10 |
| | Avicel PH101 | 22.22 |
| | Magnesium stearate | 0.86 |

30 The tablet blend was tabletted to a mean hardness of 55N in a Killian RTS tablet press. The *in vitro* dissolution for the tablets was determined in a USP Apparatus II (paddles) at 100 r.p.m. in 1.25%

SLS aqueous solution at pH 6.8 medium, at a volume of 900ml and a temperature of $37^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 0.5$.

The following results were obtained:

5

| | <u>Time(hours)</u> | <u>% Release</u> |
|----|--------------------|------------------|
| 10 | 1.0 | 2.5 |
| | 2.0 | 8.7 |
| | 4.0 | 21.7 |
| | 6.0 | 30.3 |
| | 8.0 | 43.3 |
| | 10.0 | 52.5 |
| | 24.0 | 101.0 |

15

Example 2

Preparation of solid dispersion

20 Lutrol F127 (500g) was melted by heating to 80°C . Nifedipine (500g) was gradually added to, and dispersed in, the molten Lutrol. Mixing was continued for 2hr before the solid dispersion was allowed to cool at room temperature, followed by milling.

25 Preparation of tablet

A tablet formulation was prepared by blending the solid dispersion with the following tablet ingredients in the indicated proportions:

30

| | <u>Ingredient</u> | <u>Weight %</u> |
|----|--------------------|-----------------|
| | Solid dispersion | 23.43 |
| | Methocel K15M | 56.30 |
| | Avicel PH101 | 19.52 |
| 35 | Magnesium stearate | 0.75 |

The tablet blend was tabletted to a mean hardness of 52N in a Killian RTS tablet press. The *in vitro* dissolution for the tablets was determined under the conditions as specified in Example 1. The following results were obtained:

5

| | <u>Time(hours)</u> | <u>% Release</u> |
|----|--------------------|------------------|
| 10 | 1.0 | 5.3 |
| | 2.0 | 8.2 |
| | 4.0 | 20.3 |
| | 6.0 | 31.6 |
| | 8.0 | 42.3 |
| | 10.0 | 53.6 |
| | 24.0 | 110.8 |

15

A comparison of the pharmacokinetic data for the formulations prepared in Examples 1 and 2 is shown in Table 1 and accompanying Fig. 1.

20

Table 1

| Parameter | Example 1 | Example 2 |
|------------|---------------|----------------|
| AUC*(0-24) | 85.54 ± 54.12 | 153.10 ± 75.85 |
| Cmax** | 8.58 ± 4.32 | 16.10 ± 9.21 |
| Tmax*** | 12.89 ± 9.05 | 12.78 ± 9.25 |

* Area under the plasma drug level *versus* time curve

** Maximum plasma concentration of drug attained

25 *** The time at which C max is attained

The effect of including the solid dispersion (Example 2) with the attendant increase in bioavailability is clear from a comparison of Example 1 (raw material alone) and Example 2.

30

Example 3Preparation of solid dispersion

5

Lutrol F127 (1500g) was melted by heating to 80⁰C. Nifedipine (500g) was gradually added to, and dispersed in, the molten Lutrol. Mixing was continued for 2hr before the solid dispersion was allowed to cool at room temperature, followed by milling.

10

Preparation of tablet

15

A tablet formulation was prepared by blending the solid dispersion with the following tablet ingredients in the indicated proportions:

20

| <u>Ingredient</u> | <u>Weight %</u> |
|--------------------|-----------------|
| Solid dispersion | 35.29 |
| Methocel K15M | 30.00 |
| Methocel K100LV | 0.1 |
| Avicel PH101 | 33.35 |
| Magnesium stearate | 0.86 |
| Aerosil 200 | 0.4 |

25

The tablet blend was tabletted to a mean hardness of 73N on a Fette E1 tablet press. The *in vitro* dissolution for the tablets was determined under the conditions as specified in Example 1. The following results were obtained:

| | <u>Time(hours)</u> | <u>% Release</u> |
|----|--------------------|------------------|
| 5 | 1.0 | 9.8 |
| | 2.0 | 19.3 |
| | 4.0 | 41.7 |
| | 6.0 | 55.8 |
| | 8.0 | 67.5 |
| | 10.0 | 77.3 |
| 10 | 12.0 | 88.6 |
| | 24.0 | 103.9 |

Example 415 Preparation of solid dispersion

The solid dispersion corresponded to that prepared in Example 3.

20 Preparation of tablet

A tablet formulation was prepared by blending the solid dispersion with the following tablet ingredients in the indicated proportions:

| | <u>Ingredient</u> | <u>Weight %</u> |
|----|--------------------|-----------------|
| 30 | Solid dispersion | 35.29 |
| | Methocel K15M | 0.10 |
| | Methocel K100LV | 40.00 |
| | Avicel PH101 | 23.35 |
| | Magnesium stearate | 0.86 |
| | Aerosil 200 | 0.40 |

35 The tablet blend was tabletted to a mean hardness of 73N on a Fette E1 tablet press. The *in vitro* dissolution for the tablets was

determined under the conditions as specified in Example 1. The following results were obtained:

| | <u>Time(hours)</u> | <u>% Release</u> |
|----|--------------------|------------------|
| | 1.0 | 22.2 |
| | 2.0 | 38.5 |
| | 4.0 | 67.9 |
| 10 | 6.0 | 92.1 |
| | 8.0 | 103.1 |
| | 10.0 | 105.6 |
| | 12.0 | 105.5 |
| | 24.0 | 106.2 |

15

A comparison of the pharmacokinetic data for the formulations prepared in Examples 3 and 4 is shown in Table 2 and accompanying Fig. 2.

20

Table 2

| Parameter | Example 3 | Example 4 |
|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| AUC _{ss} | 305.22 ± 132.25 | 321.34 ± 109.66 |
| C _{max} | 23.38 ± 8.75 | 43.04 ± 15.40 |
| T _{max} | 3.70 ± 2.63 | 2.75 ± 0.98 |

25 Example 3 which uses a high percentage of a high viscosity Methocel (relative to Example 4) shows a slower dissolution profile and consequently shows a flatter *in vivo* plasma profile, thus demonstrating the changes in profile that can be achieved with different grades of Methocel.

Example 5Preparation of solid dispersion

5 Lutrol F127 (4500g) was melted by heating to 80°C. Nifedipine (1500g) was gradually added to, and dispersed in, the molten Lutrol. Mixing was continued for 2 hrs before the solid dispersion was allowed to cool at room temperature, followed by milling.

10 Preparation of tablet

A tablet formulation was prepared by blending a solid dispersion with the following tablet ingredients in the indicated proportions:

| | <u>Ingredient</u> | <u>Weight %</u> |
|----|--------------------|-----------------|
| | Solid dispersion | 35.29 |
| | Methocel K15M | 15.00 |
| | Methocel K100LV | 0.1 |
| 20 | Avicel PH101 | 47.95 |
| | Magnesium stearate | 0.86 |
| | Aerosil 200 | 0.8 |

25 The tablet blend was tabletted to a mean hardness of 90N on a Fette E1 tablet press. The *in vitro* dissolution for the tablets was determined under the conditions as specified in Example 1. The following results were obtained:

| | <u>Time(hours)</u> | <u>% Release</u> |
|----|--------------------|------------------|
| 30 | 1.0 | 15.7 |
| | 2.0 | 40.0 |
| | 4.0 | 63.9 |
| | 6.0 | 81.7 |
| 35 | 8.0 | 103.8 |
| | 10.0 | 109.9 |

A comparison of the pharmacokinetic data for the formulation prepared in Example 5 with a commercially available once-daily nifedipine tablet (hereinafter referred to as reference) is shown in Table 3 and accompanying Fig. 3.

5

Table 3

| Parameter | Example 5 | Reference |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| AUC ₍₀₋₃₆₎ | 374.93 ± 107.68 | 376.24 ± 135.45 |
| Cmax | 39.25 ± 13.54 | 40.87 ± 15.09 |
| Tmax | 3.60 ± 1.43 | 3.45 ± 1.17 |
| F % **** | 1.04 ± 0.22 | - |

**** F % = ratio of Example relative to reference.

Example 6Preparation of solid dispersion

10 Lutrol F127 (463 g) obtained from BASF (Ireland) Limited was melted by heating to 80°C. Cisapride (237 g) obtained from Janssen Pharmaceutica N.V., tartaric acid (150 g), obtained from R.B. Chemicals, and lactose (150 g) obtained from Forum Chemicals, were gradually added to, and dispersed in, the molten Lutrol. Mixing was continued for 0.5 hours until the last of the lactose had been added.
 15 The resulting solid dispersion was allowed to cool at room temperature, followed by milling thereof.

Preparation of tablet

20 A tablet formulation was prepared by blending the solid dispersion with the following tabletting ingredients in the indicated proportions:

| <u>Ingredient</u> | <u>Weight %</u> |
|----------------------|-----------------|
| Solid dispersion | 24.2 |
| Methocel K15M | 20.0 |
| Avicel pH101 | 55.3 |
| 5 Magnesium stearate | 0.5 |

The tablet blend was tabletted to a mean hardness of 129 N in a Killian RTS tablet press.

10 The *in vitro* dissolution for the tablets so prepared was determined using the standard U.S.P. apparatus II (paddles) operating at 50 r.p.m. using a 0.01 M HCl dissolution medium, volume 900 ml and temperature $37^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$. At the indicated sampling times a 6.0 ml aliquot was removed and filtered through a 0.45 μm filter. A 4/10 dilution with 0.01 M HCl was performed and the absorbance at 270 nm measured against 0.01 M HCl. The following results were obtained:

| 15 | <u>Time (hours)</u> | <u>% Release</u> |
|----|---------------------|------------------|
| | 0.5 | 13.8 |
| | 1.0 | 16.7 |
| | 2.0 | 22.2 |
| | 4.0 | 33.0 |
| 20 | 6.0 | 41.5 |
| | 8.0 | 49.9 |
| | 10.0 | 57.0 |
| | 12.0 | 61.3 |
| | 16.0 | 67.3 |
| 25 | 24.0 | 81.2 |

Plasma levels of cisapride achievable with the tablet formulation prepared above was assessed in 10 healthy male volunteer subjects. The results are shown in accompanying Fig. 4, wherein curve a) corresponds to the present Example.

Example 7Preparation of solid dispersion

5 Lutrol F127 (463 g) was melted by heating to 80°C. Cisapride (237 g), tartaric acid (150 g), and lactose (150 g), were gradually added to, and dispersed in, the molten Lutrol. Mixing was continued for 0.5 hours until the last of the lactose had been added. The resulting solid dispersion was allowed to cool at room temperature, followed by milling thereof.

Preparation of tablet

10 A tablet formulation was prepared by blending the solid dispersion with the following tabletting ingredients in the indicated proportions:

| | <u>Ingredient</u> | <u>Weight %</u> |
|----|--------------------|-----------------|
| | Solid dispersion | 24.2 |
| 15 | Methocel K100LV | 40.0 |
| | Avicel pH101 | 35.3 |
| | Magnesium stearate | 0.5 |

The tablet blend was tabletted to a mean hardness of 139 N in a Killian RTS tablet press.

20 The *in vitro* dissolution for the tablets so prepared was determined under the conditions specified in Example 6. The following results were obtained:

| | <u>Time (hours)</u> | <u>% Release</u> |
|----|---------------------|------------------|
| 5 | 0.5 | 8.4 |
| | 1.0 | 12.0 |
| | 2.0 | 21.3 |
| | 4.0 | 43.4 |
| | 6.0 | 54.1 |
| | 8.0 | 70.5 |
| | 10.0 | 87.6 |
| | 12.0 | 98.7 |
| 10 | 16.0 | 108.3 |
| | 24.0 | 112.5 |

Plasma levels of cisapride achievable with the tablet formulation prepared in accordance with the present Example was assessed in 10 healthy male volunteer subjects as before. The results are shown in Fig. 4, wherein curve b) corresponds to the present Example.

In the *in vivo* studies based on the tablet formulations of Examples 6 and 7 each of 10 subjects received one 40 mg tablet once a day.

It will be clear from accompanying Fig. 4 that the tablet formulations of Examples 6 and 7 have an extended absorption and plasma level profile. Each product appears to show an absorption phase with an initial more rapid absorption rate (over the first 4 hours) and a slower absorption rate over the remaining 12-16 hours.

The main difference between the plasma level profile of the product of Example 6 relative to the product of Example 7 is that the latter product has a more dominant peak concentration (C max) while the former product has the more extended absorption and plasma level profile. The respective products, while sharing a common solid

dispersion formulation, do differ in release rate characteristics and in the viscosity grade of the cellulose-based polymer used to achieve rate control.

5 A summary of the pharmacokinetic parameters for the *in vivo* data for Examples 6 and 7 is shown in Table 4.

Table 4

| Parameter | Example 6 (40 mg) | Example 7 (40 mg) |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| AUC (0-infinity) ngxh/ml | 1148.10 ± 595.10 | 1125.85 ± 276.37 |
| Cmax ng/ml | 44.14 ± 16.01 | 55.71 ± 9.72 |
| Tmax hours | 7.30 ± 4.72 | 5.70 ± 3.83 |
| Kel***** 1/hour | 0.082 ± 0.027 | 0.079 ± 0.023 |
| T1/2***** hours | 9.24 ± 2.78 | 9.78 ± 4.13 |

***** The elimination rate constant

***** The half-life of the drug in the plasma.

Example 8

Preparation of solid dispersion

15 Lutrol F68 (2087.4g) was melted by heating to 80°C. Cisapride (522.4g) and tartaric acid (391.4g) were gradually added to, and

dispersed in, the molten Lutrol. Mixing was continued for one hour before the solid dispersion was allowed to cool at room temperature, followed by milling thereof.

5 Preparation of tablet

A tablet formulation was prepared by blending the solid dispersion with the following tabletting ingredients in the indicated proportions:

10

| | <u>Ingredient</u> | <u>Weight %</u> |
|----|--------------------|-----------------|
| | Solid dispersion | 32.8 |
| | Methocel K100LV | 40.0 |
| 15 | Methocel K15M | 0.1 |
| | Avicel PH101 | 26.1 |
| | Aerosil 200 | 0.5 |
| | Magnesium stearate | 0.5 |

20

The tablet blend was tabletted to a mean hardness of 125N in a Killian RTS tablet press. The *in vitro* dissolution for the tablets was determined under the conditions specified in Example 6. The following results were obtained:

25

| | <u>Time (hours)</u> | <u>% Release</u> |
|----|---------------------|------------------|
| | 0.5 | 6.3 |
| | 1.0 | 8.5 |
| | 2.0 | 14.4 |
| 30 | 4.0 | 28.6 |
| | 6.0 | 37.9 |
| | 8.0 | 51.1 |
| | 10.0 | 60.7 |
| | 24.0 | 104.6 |

35

Example 9Preparation of solid dispersion

5 Tartaric acid (156.4g) was dissolved in ethanol (833g). In a separate vessel the Cisapride (500g) was dissolved in acetone (5000g) followed by the Lutrol F68 (2001.7g). The two solutions were mixed at 50°C and solvent was evaporated under vacuum at elevated temperatures. The resulting molten solid dispersion was allowed to
10 cool at room temperature, followed by milling thereof.

Preparation of tablet

15 A tablet formulation was prepared by blending the solid dispersion with the following tabletting ingredients in the indicated proportions:

| | <u>Ingredient</u> | <u>Weight %</u> |
|----|--------------------|-----------------|
| 20 | Solid dispersion | 30.35 |
| | Methocel K100LV | 5.0 |
| | Methocel K15M | 10.0 |
| | Avicel PH101 | 53.65 |
| 25 | Aerosil 200 | 0.5 |
| | Magnesium stearate | 0.5 |

30 The tablet blend was tabletted to a mean hardness of 108N in a Killian RTS tablet press. The *in vitro* dissolution for the tablets was determined under the conditions specified in Example 6. The following results were obtained:

| | <u>Time (hours)</u> | <u>% Release</u> |
|----|---------------------|------------------|
| 5 | 0.5 | 6.6 |
| | 1.0 | 10.2 |
| | 2.0 | 16.7 |
| | 4.0 | 26.1 |
| | 6.0 | 32.8 |
| | 8.0 | 40.0 |
| 10 | 10.0 | 44.3 |
| | 24.0 | 84.1 |

Example 10

15

Preparation of solid dispersion

The solid dispersion used was the solid dispersion of Example 9.

20 Preparation of tablet

A tablet formulation was prepared by blending the solid dispersion with the following tabletting ingredients in the indicated proportions:

25

| | <u>Ingredient</u> | <u>Weight %</u> |
|----|--------------------|-----------------|
| 30 | Solid dispersion | 30.35 |
| | Methocel K100LV | 40.0 |
| | Methocel K15M | 0.1 |
| | Avicel PH101 | 28.55 |
| | Aerosil 200 | 0.5 |
| | Magnesium stearate | 0.5 |

35

The tablet blend was tabletted to a mean hardness of 115N in a Killian RTS tablet press. The *in vitro* dissolution for the tablets was determined under the conditions specified in Example 6. The following results were obtained:

5

| | <u>Time (hours)</u> | <u>% Release</u> |
|----|---------------------|------------------|
| 10 | 0.5 | 5.0 |
| | 1.0 | 9.5 |
| | 2.0 | 16.0 |
| | 4.0 | 28.4 |
| | 6.0 | 40.1 |
| | 8.0 | 49.5 |
| | 10.0 | 65.7 |
| 15 | 24.0 | 103.9 |

Example 11

20 Preparation of solid dispersion

The solid dispersion used was the solid dispersion of Example 9.

25 Preparation of mini-tablets

A mini-tablet formulation was prepared by blending the solid dispersion with the following tabletting ingredients in the indicated proportions:

| 30 | <u>Ingredient</u> | <u>Weight %</u> |
|----|--------------------|-----------------|
| | Solid dispersion | 29.57 |
| | Avicel PH101 | 58.93 |
| | Aerosil 200 | 2.0 |
| 35 | Magnesium stearate | 0.5 |

The tablet blend was tabletted to a mean hardness of 75N in a Killian RTS tablet press.

Coating of mini-tablets

5

A 500g quantity of the above mini-tablets was coated with the following coating solution in a Hi-Coater (trade mark) to a weight gain of 10.7%.

| | <u>Ingredient</u> | <u>Weight %</u> |
|----|-------------------|-----------------|
| | Eudragit L12.5 | 50.0 |
| | Diethylphthalate | 1.25 |
| | Talc | 1.50 |
| 15 | Isopropanol | 44.4 |
| | Purified Water | 2.85 |

A further 500g of the mini-tablets were coated with the following coating solution in a Hi-Coater to a weight gain of 8.6%.

20

| | <u>Ingredient</u> | <u>Weight %</u> |
|----|-------------------|-----------------|
| | Eudragit S12.5 | 50.0 |
| | Diethylphthalate | 1.25 |
| 25 | Talc | 1.55 |
| | Isopropanol | 44.35 |
| | Purified water | 2.85 |

Final dosage form

30

The final dosage form was a double zero capsule filled with three uncoated mini-tablets, three Eudragit L coated mini-tablets and three Eudragit S coated mini-tablets. This formulation provided a smooth plasma profile over an extended period as shown in Figure 5.

35

The uncoated mini-tablets showed rapid disintegration in acid (< 7 minutes) and 95% release on dissolution in 0.01N HCl. The enteric coated tablets showed no disintegration in acid (up to 60 minutes) and 100% release on dissolution in pH 6.8 buffer with SLS.

5

* * * * *

10 A summary of pharmacokinetic data for the formulations prepared in Examples 8-11 and the product sold under the trade mark Prepulsid (hereinafter referred to as reference) is presented in Table 5 and accompanying Fig. 5.

Table 5

| Parameter | Example 8 | Example 9 | Example 10 | Example 11 | Reference |
|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| AUC (Infinity) | 2027.58 ± 554.90 | 1747.99 ± 765.87 | 1982.09 ± 860.97 | 1820.12 ± 619.91 | 2124.80 ± 650.96 |
| Cmax | 111.54 ± 31.34 | 92.36 ± 40.51 | 84.24 ± 21.86 | 85.81 ± 22.27 | 101.94 ± 24.45 |
| Tmax | 4.30 ± 0.95 | 4.20 ± 1.23 | 7.40 ± 4.25 | 3.90 ± 1.60 | 13.55 ± 3.7 |
| F % | 99.2 ± 27.3 | 82.2 ± 23.1 | 94.7 ± 31.0 | 88.6 ± 28.5 | |

15

Example 12Preparation of solid dispersion

Lutrol F127 (866 g) was melted. Cisapride (300 g) was added to, and dispersed in, the molten Lutrol. Tartaric acid (60.7 g) was then

added to, and dispersed in the Lutrol/cisapride mixture. The resulting mixture was allowed to cool. The solid dispersion was then milled.

Preparation of uncoated tablets

Tablets were prepared by blending 24% by weight of the solid dispersion prepared above with the following ingredients in the indicated percentages:

| | <u>Ingredient</u> | <u>Weight %</u> |
|----|--------------------|-----------------|
| 5 | Solid dispersion | 24.0 |
| | Avicel pH101 | 45.3 |
| 10 | KCl | 10.0 |
| | Sorbitol | 20.0 |
| | Magnesium stearate | 0.5 |
| | Aerosil 200 | 0.2 |

15 The tablet blend was tabletted to a tablet hardness of 110-150 N in a Killian RTS tablet press.

The *in vitro* dissolution of the uncoated tablet was determined in the manner described in Example 6 and the following profile was obtained:

| | <u>Time (hours)</u> | <u>% Release</u> |
|----|---------------------|------------------|
| 20 | 0.5 | 104.6 |
| | 1.0 | 111.6 |

Preparation of coated tablets

25 The tablets prepared above were coated to a 2% solid weight gain from an organic solution of ethylcellulose: polyvinylpyrrolidone (7:3) using a C.F. 130 coating apparatus in a manner known *per se*.

The *in vitro* dissolution of the coated tablets was determined in the manner described in Example 6 and the results obtained were as follows:

| | <u>Time (hours)</u> | <u>% Release</u> |
|---|---------------------|------------------|
| 5 | 0.5 | 6.5 |
| | 1.0 | 19.9 |
| | 2.0 | 89.6 |
| | 4.0 | 100.7 |

Example 13

10 Preparation of solid dispersion

Lutrol F127 (866 g) was melted. Cisapride (300 g) was added to, and dispersed in, the molten Lutrol. Tartaric acid (60.7 g) was then added to, and dispersed in the Lutrol/cisapride mixture. The resulting mixture was allowed to cool. The solid dispersion was then milled.

15 Preparation of uncoated tablets

Tablets were prepared by blending 24% by weight of the adsorbate prepared above with the following ingredients in the indicated percentages:

| | <u>Ingredient</u> | <u>Weight %</u> |
|----|--------------------|-----------------|
| 20 | Solid dispersion | 24.0 |
| | Avicel pH101 | 45.3 |
| | KCl | 10.0 |
| | Sorbitol | 20.0 |
| | Magnesium stearate | 0.5 |
| 25 | Aerosil 200 | 0.2 |

The tablet blend was tabletted to a tablet hardness of 110-150 N in a Killian RTS tablet press.

5 The *in vitro* dissolution of the uncoated tablet was determined in the manner described in Example 6 and the following profile was obtained:

| <u>Time (hours)</u> | <u>% Release</u> |
|---------------------|------------------|
| 0.5 | 104.6 |
| 1.0 | 111.6 |

Preparation of coated tablets

10 The tablets prepared above were coated to a 2% solid weight gain from an organic solution of Eudragit RS: RL (6:4) using a C.F. 130 coating apparatus in a manner known *per se*.

15 The *in vitro* dissolution of the coated tablets was determined in the manner described in these Example 6 and the results obtained were as follows:

| | <u>Time (hours)</u> | <u>% Release</u> |
|----|---------------------|------------------|
| 20 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| | 2.0 | 7.7 |
| | 4.0 | 24.1 |
| | 6.0 | 33.7 |
| | 8.0 | 46.1 |
| | 12.0 | 55.2 |
| | 24.0 | 72.6 |

Claims


1. A controlled release formulation for oral administration, comprising a solid dispersion of a poorly soluble active ingredient in a hydrophilic poloxamer polymer, said solid dispersion being a component of a core and said core as such or following coating of the core with a polymeric coating being effective to achieve therapeutic levels of said active ingredient over extended periods of time following oral administration.
2. A formulation according to Claim 1, wherein said solid dispersion is blended with one or more tabletting ingredients to form a tablet core, said tablet core as such or following coating of the core with a polymeric coating being effective to achieve therapeutic levels of said active ingredient over extended periods of time following oral administration.
3. A formulation according to Claim 1 or 2, wherein the solid dispersion includes a surfactant component.
4. A formulation according to Claim 3, wherein the surfactant component is selected from sodium lauryl sulphate, a sodium carboxylate, an alkyl sulphate, a polyethylene glycol ester, a polyethylene ether, a sorbitan ester, an ethoxylated sorbitan ester and an alkyl trimethylammonium halide and a mixture thereof.
5. A formulation according to any preceding claim, wherein the solid dispersion includes an acid component.
6. A formulation according to Claim 5, wherein the acid component is selected from adipic acid, ascorbic acid, citric acid, fumaric acid, malic acid, succinic acid and tartaric acid.
7. A formulation according to any one of Claims 1-4, wherein the solid dispersion includes a base component.

8. A formulation according to Claim 7, wherein the base component is selected from calcium carbonate, calcium hydroxide, magnesium hydroxide, sodium bicarbonate, sodium carbonate, sodium citrate and sodium hydroxide.
- 5 9. A formulation according to any one of Claims 3-8, wherein the surfactant, acid or base component is present in a ratio of 0.01:1.0 to 5.0:1.0 by weight of the active ingredient.
- 10 10. A formulation according to any preceding claim, wherein the active ingredient and the poloxamer are present in an amount of 0.1:1.0 to 10.0:1.0 by weight.
- 15 11. A formulation according to any preceding claim, wherein the poloxamer contains between 60% and 90% by weight of polyoxyethylene.
12. A formulation according to any preceding claim, which is in a multi-particulate form.
13. A formulation according to Claim 12, which is in the form of mini-tablets.
- 20 14. A formulation according to Claim 12 or 13, which includes an amount of said solid dispersion for immediate release.
15. A formulation according to any one of Claims 1-11, which is in the form of tablets.
- 25 16. A formulation according to any one of Claims 2-11 and 15, wherein the tablet core is defined by said solid dispersion dispersed in a hydrogel matrix.
17. A formulation according to Claim 16, wherein the hydrogel matrix is formed of a water swellable polymer.


18. A formulation according to Claim 17, wherein the water swellable polymer is a hydroxypropylmethylcellulose in an amount of 5-75% by weight.
19. A formulation according to Claim 15, wherein the core is surrounded by a multi-porous, rate-controlling membrane.
20. A formulation according to any preceding claim, wherein the active ingredient is selected from cisapride, cyclosporin, diclofenac, felodipine, ibuprofen, indomethacin, nicardipine, nifedipine, terfenadine and theophylline.
- 10 21. A controlled release formulation for oral administration, substantially as hereinbefore and described and exemplified.

1/5

Fig. 1





2/5



3/5


Fig. 3





5/5

Fig. 5



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internat Application No
PCT/IE 96/00039

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 6 A61K9/14 A61K9/20

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 A61K

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

| Category | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages | Relevant to claim No. |
|----------|--|----------------------------|
| X | <p>EP 0 317 780 A (AMERICAN CYANAMID COMPANY) 31 May 1989</p> <p>see claims 1-8 see examples 1-4</p> <p>-----</p> | 1,2, 10-18, 20,21 |
| X | <p>DRUG DEVELOPMENT AND INDUSTRIAL PHARMACY, vol. 18, no. 16, 1992, pages 1719-1739, XP000612180</p> <p>HAWLEY, A. R. ET AL.: "Physical and chemical characterization of thermosoftened bases for molten filled hard gelatin capsule formulations" see the whole document</p> <p>-----</p> | 1,2,10, 11,15, 20,21 |



Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.



Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

Date of mailing of the international search report

9 December 1996

20,12,96

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentstaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl.
Fax (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

VENTURA AMAT, A

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No.
PCT/IE 96/00039

| Patent document cited in search report | Publication date | Patent family member(s) | | Publication date |
|--|------------------|-------------------------|---------|------------------|
| EP-A-317780 | 31-05-89 | AU-A- | 2587088 | 25-05-89 |

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- BLACK BORDERS**
- IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.